

Councillor Comments: Deadline Wednesday 27th May 2020

PA20/03769

Submission of details to discharge conditions 3, 4, 6 and 7 in respect of Decision Notice PA19/10391 dated 25th March 2020

Crannog House Barkers Hill St Stephens Saltash Cornwall PL12 4QB

Councillor Richard Bickford:

Councillor Gloria Challen:

I wish to abstain from this application.

I am a member of the Flood Forum.

Councillor Jean Dent:

If you are happy with David's explanation I think that should be our response.

Please let me know if there's anyone who isn't happy with this.

Councillor Mark Fox:

Councillor Sarah Gillies:

Councillor Sheila Lennox-Boyd:

I am unable to comment on this application due to the fact that my architect who is working on my own planning application for Windy Ridge is also the same architect for this application.

Councillor Sarah Martin:

Then I'm happy with this. David has been on top of this the most of all of us and I trust his judgement on it.

Councillor Steve Miller:

My thanks also to David for a meaningful response with which I am happy to concur; 3 & 4 in particular showing the unsuitability of this unnecessary development.

Councillor Mike Parker:

Councillor Julia Peggs:

In agreement with Councillor Yates.

Councillor Bill Phillips:

I concur with David over this.

Councillor Averil Pinckney:

Councillor Julie Rance:

Councillor Brenda Samuels:

In agreement with Councillor Yates.

Councillor Pete Samuels:

Very happy with David's response.

Councillor David Yates:

3. A Construction Phase Surface Water Management Plan;

I believe that the submitted information meets the stated requirement to provide information.

I am not in a position to comment on its likely success, that is a matter for the planning and flooding authorities.

The owners requirements for maintenance and inspection must be laid down in such a way that any future purchaser is aware of and complies with these requirements.

4. No development shall commence until a scheme for the provision and management of passage for otters and other wildlife via the gabion baskets has been submitted.

I believe that the submitted information meets the stated requirement to provide information.

I am not in a position to comment on its likely success, that is a matter for the planning authority's advisors to assess.

The owners requirements for keeping the access clear and viable must be laid down in such a way that any future purchaser is aware of and complies with these requirements.

6. No development above ground floor slab level of any part of the development hereby permitted shall take place until details of the planting and maintenance of the sedum roof have been submitted

The information presented meets the requirement.

7 Prior to the first occupation of the dwelling hereby approved, details of any boundary enclosures or fencing on the western, southern and eastern boundaries of the site shall be submitted

The submitted document appears to meet the requirement.

It should be noted that the existing boundaries include close panelled fences, it is assumed that these will be removed to meet the boundary as described.

It is also assumed that the fencing against the Latchbrook will allow animal access to the water including as described in the condition 4 response.